# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,256	02/02/2004	Thomas E. Speer	030048104US	9618
64066 7590 01/26/2007 PERKINS COIE, LLP P.O. BOX 1247 PATENT - SEA SEATT;E, WA 98111-1247			EXAMINER BEHNCKE, CHRISTINE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATT,E, WAY	1 70111-1247		3661	
CHORTCAICD CTATHTONY	DEDIOD OF DESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED	VMODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/770,256	SPEER, THOMAS E.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christine M. Behncke	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
· WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stati reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tite, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	February 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)[	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure						
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen		<b></b>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informat					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the application filed 2 February 2004, in which claims 1-43 were presented for examination.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-27, drawn to a method for sizing and making a portion of a vehicle control system, classified in class 244, subclass 117R.
  - II. Claims 28-43, drawn to a portion of a vehicle control system, classified in class 701, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups 1 and Group 2 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product, a portion of a vehicle control system, as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, the prior art design process described in the Applicant's own Background as the claims do not require the portion of the control system to be non-specialized ([0004]-[0009]).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/770,256

Art Unit: 3661

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A: a method for sizing a vehicle control system comprising selecting an actuator mechanism capability;

Species B: a method for sizing a vehicle control system selecting a set of control laws.

The species are independent or distinct because Species A and B are directed to related methods for sizing and making a portion of a vehicle control system. The related inventions are distinct because the inventions do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants. In the instant case, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/770,256

Art Unit: 3661

variants. Applicant's Background indicates the actuator mechanism capability is distinct from control laws, and consideration of the control laws is not always included in the method of making the portion of a control system ([0004]-[0006]).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 3661

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine M. Behncke whose telephone number is (571) 272-8103. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**CMB**